# Carbon Net Zero Where are we and what do we need to do?

Alex Gee Operations Director, NPS Group



#### Where are we?









#### What do we need to do?









	2020s	2030s	2040s
successory/	Longity Development of the summation of the second se	Naparal Andre State optimises and served part operations in gravities Systematical Angelia International 202	
entricute	Bellage and by a participation	Whenever all deployment in modeling, and in Dark op disylve the parameters. And and when the long relation is a set of a contrast time.	
MALEINGS			
ROAD TRANSPORT	Range og 17 marter, ændelere er 1800	Search and Search and an and a state of the search of the	
NOUSTRY	4/10/112 /saling energy & manual (Balance	Surface ICS, and agreed to a Payloger, same record same	
LINDUS	California and Andreas		
Auvocture	warms the construction of a state of proving activation for any distant		
SUMIDA	and the second second second second second second second second		
-	and a second		
(		/ (	
F-GASES	and the second sec		
GAS REMOVALS	Dentry ration & article Summer's	Pageogram at MITE ) in sectors for affine transmission	nen, eksenselsen denst all oppens al III. Appending oc program
PPRASTRUCTURE	And a second sec	Participanti engenia fina ancherre A per Ber Pyskragere storetti. Pality erter	entada baltikap, celi and al alterityrten neva UC atterreting, one thilly ekspanier
COMPANY OF	The Property and the stand of another base from the section of the section of the section of the section of		





### But how do we do that?







# **Strategic Property Model**





### **Stakeholder Analysis – Influencing the agenda**



STAKEHOLDER	ROLE	FROM PROPERTY STEWARD TO CLIMATE STEWARD
Political	Strategy adoption & implementation	Actively link schemes to strategy; demonstrate the "value" of the scheme (spend to save)
Economic	Facilitate Carbon Reduction Schemes	Promote integrated ways of financial modelling; whole life costing including Carbon cost / offset value
Sociological	Enhancing communities & modelling change	Reducing carbon footprint; influencing supply chain; Sharing risk
Technical	Promotion of smart technologies	Integrate design innovation and retro-fit technologies
Legal	Provide the Statutory and Regulatory Framework	Integrate legal, planning and building regs knowledge at the start of any scheme
Environmental	Promote and manage greater energy sustainability	Upskill on digital / smart infrastructure to maximise each scheme

### So where do we start?



- The size of the NZC challenge and opportunity?:-
  - Housing accounts for circa 30% of the UK total emissions (Heat, Electricity)
  - 2008 to 2018 domestic end user emissions fell by 35% decarbonisation of electricity, with gas and solid fuel emissions reducing by 15%
  - Non-domestic buildings poor data on exact contribution ~12%
  - But... Local Authority 'Direct Control' estate is only 2-5% of total area emissions and have powers or influence over circa 1/3 of all emissions
  - ~60% of emissions reductions to achieve the Governments 6th Carbon Budget (2033-37) will need to come from societal and behaviour change, pure technology only ~40%
- Reducing these emissions from Buildings requires a combination of:-
  - New buildings Net Zero Carbon, national frameworks / planning policies
  - Deep retrofitting existing buildings to minimise their emissions and decarbonising heat
  - Providing low or zero carbon energy infrastructure to supply buildings



# **Retrofit & Energy Infrastructure**



#### Site Prioritisation:-

- Asset Strategy disposals, redevelopment?
- Asset performance Benchmarking (CIBSE TM46), EPC's (MEES)?
- Largest energy consumers Top 10..20..?
- Existing capital maintenance programmes (CMP's)
- Fossil fuel displacement e.g. decarbonisation of oil and gas v heat pumps?
- Availability of existing funding PSDS, Salix Loans, ERDF (Eastern New Energy)

#### Technology Fit:-

- Desktop and site energy audits (BS 16247) Part 2 Buildings (fabric & M&E)
- Existing condition data
- Project costs, lifecycle assessment, energy, carbon and cost savings
- Green technology integration with existing CMP's
- Area-wide energy opportunities heat networks, on-site and off-site solar.....
- Project deployment and procurement strategy ESPO, RE:FIT...



### **Example Retrofit Project 1**

#### Peterborough City Council:

- 2030 Target to be NCZ
- Top 10-consuming buildings ~12.5 MWh, 2,640 T CO2e (23% of Gross Emissions)
- Desktop and site energy audits ~20% reduction
- LCSF Funding to extend work for heat decarbonisation + 4 additional buildings
- Integration with PCC's Integrated Renewables Infrastructure (PIRI) Project
- Opportunity extended to over 5.4 MWh and 1,000 T CO2e savings
- Specific projects TBC







## **Example Retrofit Project 2**



Norwich City Council – City Hall

- Existing capital funding allocated for replacement of life-expired gas boilers
- LCSF secured to explore options for integration of ASHP's to displace gas consumption
- Two ASHP potential feasibility options identified to displace ~25-50% of gas;
- Significant funding secured through PSDS Phase 1 including glazing improvements for noise attenuation of ASHP's;
- Grade listed building so planning issues need to be overcome;
- Detailed design ongoing for location, loadings, sound attenuation etc..



# Norwich City Council – Goldsmith Street

- Drivers & lessons learnt on Passivhaus schemes
- Goldsmith Street, the first social housing scheme in history to win the Stirling Prize
- •
- Over 1,000 more Passivhaus homes in pipeline
- Councils are starting to build some of the best quality, sustainable housing in the country





#### **Why Passivhaus?**



- Reducing TA waiting list, eliminating fuel poverty and meeting councils' zero carbon targets (2030)
- Build 'carbon ready' homes now (2025 Part L), avoid expensive retrofit costs
- Reducing fuel poverty helps reduce overall poverty (residents can heat their two-bed homes for £150 a year)
- Residents love Passivhaus and don't tend to move – creates a real sense of community
- Outside playing areas for children, neighbours socialising - even more important post- Covid



# **Building the business case**

nps group

- Passivhaus can be more risky and expensive
- 5-10% uplift in costs
- Value for money Vs. Capital cost lower fuel bills, longevity of properties, future proofing assets, less voids etc.
- Demonstrate wider social, economic and environmental benefits
- Sold Passivhaus to Members with resident feedback tenants are the greatest advocates of Passivhaus
- Academic bodies currently looking at soft metrics to measure Passivhaus benefits (education attainment, health, wellbeing etc.)



### **Key lessons learnt**



- Building form / orientation important
- Landscape just as crucial as housing (this is what fosters sense of community)
- JCT traditional contract (architect regains control)
- Easier to use a contractor who already has experience with Passivhaus, Fabric First Framework
- Find advocates of Passivhaus to share knowledge / get internal buy-in
- Education is important bust Passivhaus myths

